

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. ABIS.001 10/615,220 07/07/2003 Donald Gene Bishop 8549 **EXAMINER** 06/29/2004 Rudolf O. Siegesmund SANDY, ROBERT JOHN **Suite 2000** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 4627 N. Central Expressway Dallas, TX 75205-4017 3677

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/615,220	BISHOP, DONALD GENE
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert J. Sandy	3677
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address -
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was pailure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication.
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 Ju</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar 	action is non-final.	osecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 and 14-31 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.	· ·
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 July 2003</u> is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>07/07/2004</u>. 	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	y (PTO-413) Date Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/615,220

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 07 July 2003 contains a typographical error where U. S. Patent No. 5,337,547 (by Chennault) was listed on applicant's Form PTO-1449 instead of the correct U. S. Patent No. 5,337,457. Therefore, the correct number '5,337,457' has been indicated on the Form PTO-1449, and "5,337,547" has been lined out.

Claim Objections

Claims 23, 24 and 27 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. In the instant case, recitation of (claim 23) "The first cover of claim 16 wherein the top and the slotted back may be engaged by a plurality of first posts." and (claim 24) "The second cover of claim 16 wherein the top and the slotted back may be engaged by a plurality of second posts." do not further limit the preceding claim 16 since an intended use phrase "may be" does not set forth any structural requirement of the claimed invention.

In claim 27, lines 12 and 15, each recitation of the phrase "an slot" should be changed to - a slot - - for proper grammar.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 12 and 16-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, as to the following:

Application/Control Number: 10/615,220

Art Unit: 3677

In claim 12, line 1, there is no antecedent basis for "the top". Without a proper antecedent of *a top* in the claim, it is not understood what structure of "the top" is in reference to.

In claim 16, lines 12 and 15, each recitation of "the base" is indefinite since it does not distinguish between the "first base" and the "second base".

In claim 16, line 12, there is no antecedent basis for ""the slot".

In claim 16, lines 15 and 16, recitation of "wherein the base comprises a slot and an opening wherein the slot is adapted for passage of a group of threads affixing the second one of the plurality of shirt buttons to the shirt" renders the claims indefinite since the subject matter is not understood what structure it is in reference to in view of the limitations of lines 12-14.

In line one of each of claims 23 and 24, there is no antecedent basis for "the top". Without a proper antecedent of *a top* in the claim, it is not understood what structure of "the top" is in reference to.

In claim 27, lines 12 and 15, each recitation of "the base" is indefinite since it does not distinguish between the "first base" and the "second base".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-11, 14-28, 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sullivan (U. S. Patent No. 374,715).

Concerning claims 1-10, Sullivan ('715) discloses a (garment) necktie control device capable for use with a shirt having a plurality of shirt buttons and a necktie having a necktie label comprising: a first cover (one of the two hooks having back A and front B) capable of being adapted for engagement to and enclosure of a first one of the plurality of shirt buttons; a second cover (the other one of the two hooks) capable of being adapted for engagement to and enclosure of a second one of the plurality of shirt buttons; a flexible connector (chain F) engaged to the

Application/Control Number: 10/615,220

Art Unit: 3677

first cover and the second cover and wherein the first cover or the second cover capable of being adapted for passage between the necktie label and the necktie;

(concerning claims 2, 16 and 27) the first cover further comprising a front (A) hingedly (i.e., integrally hinged) connected to a back (B);

(concerning claims 3, 16 and 27) the second cover further comprising a second front (A) hingedly connected to a back (B);

(concerning claims 4, 16 and 27) the back further comprising a slot (opening C) adapted for passage of a group of threads affixing the first one of the plurality of shirt buttons to the shirt;

(concerning claims 5, 16 and 27) the back of claim 3 further comprising a slot (opening C) adapted for passage of a group of threads affixing the second one of the plurality of shirt buttons to the shirt;

(concerning claims 6, 17 and 30) the connector comprises a chain (F);

(concerning claims 7, 18 and 27) the chain further comprising a first end and a second end wherein the first end is connected to the first cover by a first ring (the chain end link) and the second end is connected to the second cover by a second ring (the chain end link).

(concerning claims 8, 19 and 27) the first front further comprising a first flat circular top area (circular area of A as shown in Figs. 1 and 2);

(concerning claims 9, 20 and 27) the second front further comprising a second flat circular top area (circular area of A as shown in Figs. 1 and 2);

(concerning claims 10, 21 and 28) the first cover and the second cover are identical;

(concerning claims 23 and 24, so far as definite) the tops and the slotted backs of each of first and second covers may be engaged by a plurality of respective first and second posts;

(concerning claims 14, 25 and 27) the first back further comprising a first hinge (integral hinge between the front B and back A) that is of unitary construction with the first back; and

(concerning claims 15, 26 and 27) the second back further comprising a second hinge that is of unitary construction with the second back; and

(concerning claim 31, Sullivan ('715) disclosed a necktie control device comprising a set of two covers (hooks having backs A and fronts b) adapted for covering and engaging a corresponding set of two buttons on a shirt wherein the two covers are flexibly connected (via chain F).

Art Unit: 3677

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 11, 22 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sullivan ('715). Sullivan ('715) discloses the claimed invention except for wherein the length of the connector is in the range of approximately 3-½ inches to 4 inches. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a length of about 3-¼ inches to 4 inches of chain for Sullivan's device since a length of about 3-¼ inches to 4 inches of chain would be adequate spacing of the two hooks for attaching onto a garment, and it appears that the operation and employment of Sullivan's device would not be altered.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Button cover structure is represented by Anderson (U. S. Patent No. 3,777,336), Angeli (U. S. Patent No. 5,337,584), and Gould (U. S. Patent No. 5,621,951). Necktie holder structure is represented by Riedler (U. S. Patent No. 3,042,983), Graef (U. S. Patent No. 5,216,785), and Campella et al. (U. S. Patent No. 5,245,708).

Art Unit: 3677

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert J. Sandy whose telephone number is 703-305-7413. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, J.J. Swann can be reached on 703-306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROBERT J. SANDY

Robert J. Sandy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3677